# Synthesis and Bioactivity Evaluation of 3-Hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indol-2-one Analogues

Gang Chen, a,b Ye Wang, Suo Gao, Hong-Ping He, Shun-Lin Li, Jian-Xin Zhang, Jian Ding, and Xiao-Jiang Hao,c,

aState Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, People's Republic of China bCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an Shiyou University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710065, People's Republic of China

<sup>c</sup>Key Laboratory of Chemistry for Natural Products of Guizhou Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, People's Republic of China

<sup>d</sup>Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Shanghai 201203, People's Republic of China \*E-mail: haoxj@mail.kib.ac.cn

Received December 11, 2007 DOI 10.1002/jhet.58

Published online 13 April 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

A series of propargylic alcohol was synthesized by the addition of phenylacetylene to isatin and its N-substituted derivatives for the first time. This reaction involves activation of zinc reagent via coordination with carbonyl substrates that behave "ligand like." The bioactivities on protective effect on the apoptosis of PC12 cells induced by  $H_2O_2$  and cytotoxicity against lung cancer A549 and P388 cell line of these compounds were investigated, and several compounds showed potent activities.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 46, 217 (2009).

## INTRODUCTION

The reactions, which lead to carbon–carbon bond formation by addition of nucleophiles to carbonyl group electrophiles, are of great importance in the continuing development of efficient processes for synthesis. Addition of acetylides to carbonyl substrates has attracted the attention of organic chemists and is still a very active field of organic reactions. The reaction gives access to preparation of propargylic alcohols, which are well known as versatile building blocks in the synthesis of complex natural products [1,2] and can be transformed into other functional groups, such as chalcones [3], and oxasilacyclopentene [4,5]. The addition of alkynes to ketones is also a very useful practical strategy to create tertiary alcohols with a new stereogenic center [6–8].

Some natural products with the structure of propargylic alcohol showed potent bioactivities, such as panaxyno1 (PNN), which performs potent activities on neuro-protection [9] and antitumor [10]. Isatin has been found in many natural products, with the function of modulating biochemical processes [11–13]. The advances in the use of isatin for organic synthesis and a survey of its biological activities are continuously reported [14–16]. In 1963, Ried reported the synthesis of 3-hydroxy-3-(phenyl-ethynyl)indol-2-one for the first time, with a low yield of 28% [17]. But in the following years, there was no further attention paid to the synthesis and its bioactivity.

In this article, we describe the addition of phenyl-acetylene to isatin and (*N*-substituted) isatins promoted by Et<sub>2</sub>Zn without employing specific ligands to form 3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indol-2-one analogues (Scheme 1). Furthermore, the bioactivity on neuroprotection and antitumor of these isatin derivatives was evaluated for the first time.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry. The *in situ* generated ZnMe<sub>2</sub>-acetylides from Me<sub>2</sub>Zn and acetylides have been used in a direct addition process with aldehydes and ketones without the employment of specific ligands [18]. In our preliminary studies, the reaction was carried out at room temperature. Unfortunately, the outcome was found very complex (by TLC), and the desired product was in a low yield after purification by flash chromatography. Higher yields were obtained at lower temperatures. Also, a variety of substrates were examined at 0°C, and the results are summarized in Table 1.

It can be found from Table 1 that the temperature deeply effects the reaction of the addition of phenylacetylene to (*N*-methyl) isatin. The reaction at relatively higher temperature (rt) gave poor yield, and the yields increased as the temperature is decreased, and the yield

was highest at  $0^{\circ}$ C. Significantly, lower temperatures such as  $-10^{\circ}$ C did not improve the yield.

In consideration of the accepted mechanism for ligandassisted addition of Et<sub>2</sub>Zn to aldehydes, Pier et al. [18] investigated the possibility that a zinc-ketone complex acts as a bifunctional catalyst, bringing together an additional 1 equiv of ketone and zinc reagent in a bicyclic transition state (TS). On the basis of the proposed mechanism, we assumed that this reaction involves activation of the zinc reagent via coordination with isatins. The activated TS are shown in Scheme 2. In the TS (G), two molecules of (N-substituted) isatin behaved in a "ligand like" fashion to activate a phenylacetylene by two oxygen atoms coordinating with two Zn as bridged-atoms. The addition of activated phenylacetylene to a neighbor carbonyl formed H, and F was released into another cycle. The desired product (I) is provided through hydrolysis in the final step of the reaction.

Because the substrates have more than one coordinative and reactive point, the TS might be more complex, which lead to several side reactions, the addition of ethyl to isatins can be considered as an example. We could find the yields were very low in entries 7 and 11 because of the more reactive substrates. (*N*-Acyl) isatins (entries 12 and 13) were also introduced into this reaction, but the product was too complex to determine whether there was a desired product.

**Biological activity.** Compounds 2–9 were screened for their biological activities on protective effect on the

Table 1 Alkynylation of (N-substituted)isatins with phenylacetylene using  $Et_2Zn$  as promoter.

| Entry | R                                 | Time<br>(h) | Temp. (°C) | Yield<br>(%) | Compound |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| 1     | Н                                 | 12          | 0          | 38.7         | 1        |
| 2     | $CH_3$                            | 12          | 25         | 8.1          | 2        |
| 3     | $CH_3$                            | 12          | 10         | 31.3         | 2        |
| 4     | $CH_3$                            | 12          | 0          | 58.1         | 2        |
| 5     | $CH_3$                            | 24          | -10        | 51.6         | 2        |
| 6     | $C_2H_5$                          | 12          | 0          | 66.7         | 3        |
| 7     | (CH2)2CH3                         | 12          | 0          | 60           | 4        |
| 8     | (CH2)3CH3                         | 12          | 0          | 70.2         | 5        |
| 9     | (CH2)5CH3                         | 12          | 0          | 71.3         | 6        |
| 10    | CH <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub> | 12          | 0          | 40.5         | 7        |
| 11    | Bn                                | 12          | 0          | 67.9         | 8        |
| 12    | $CH_2CO_2C_2H_5$                  | 12          | 0          | 35.8         | 9        |
| 13    | $COCH_3$                          | 12          | 0          | /            | /        |
| 14    | COCH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> | 12          | 0          | /            | /        |

apoptosis of PC12 cells induced by  $H_2O_2$  and cytotoxicity against A549 and P388 cell line at various concentrations by the reported methods [19,20]. The neuroprotection and cytotoxicity in *vitro* screening results are shown in Table 2.

From the table, we find that compounds **4**, **5**, and **6** showed potent activity, which were more effective than VE (( $\pm$ )  $\alpha$ -Tocophreol), with the percentage of 46.62, 65.93, and 35.89% at 2  $\mu$ g/mL respectively, as compound **5** was not cytotoxic against PC12 cell under the concentration of 2–200  $\mu$ g/mL, whereas compounds **4** and **6** showed some cytotoxicity. Other compounds were either inactive or cytotoxic against PC12 cell. The results of in *vitro* cytotoxicity of compounds 2–9 against A549 and P388 cell line were given in Table 3.

From the results, it was found that almost all compounds could inhibit both cancer cells effectively at the concentration of 100  $\mu M$ . Compounds 6 and 8 showed

 $\label{eq:Table 2} \mbox{Protective effect on the apoptosis of PC12 cells induced by $H_2O_2$.}$ 

|          | Inhibition of PC12 cell (%) |       |       | Inhibition of apoptosis of PC12 cells induced by H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (%) |       |       |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--|-------|-------|
| Compound | 200 μΜ                      | 20 μΜ | 2 μΜ  | 200 μΜ   | 20 μΜ | 2 μΜ  |
| 2        | 55.56                       | 18.43 | 26.61 | _  | _     | _     |
| 3        | 54.36                       | 3.59  | 15.02 | _  | 0     | 0     |
| 4        | 18.77                       | 0     | 3.53  | _  | 43.70 | 46.62 |
| 5        | 0.00                        | 0     | 0     | 44.20  | 62.88 | 65.93 |
| 6        | 90.06                       | 14.67 | 5.63  | _  | 0     | 35.89 |
| 7        | 89.33                       | 5.66  | 2.99  | _  | 0     | 0     |
| 8        | 89.51                       | 7.41  | 2.36  | _  | 0     | 0     |
| 9        | 29.56                       | 14    | 9.07  | _  | 0     | 0     |
| VE       |                             |       |       |  |       | 22.46 |

Table 3

In vitro cytotoxicity against A549 and P388 cell line.

|          | P388   | (%)   | A459 (%) |               |  |
|----------|--------|-------|----------|---------------|--|
| Compound | 100 μM | 10 μΜ | 100 μM   | 10 μ <i>M</i> |  |
| 2        | 48.7   | 9.4   | 75.6     | 12.2          |  |
| 3        | 54.6   | 4.5   | 58.5     | 0             |  |
| 4        | 54.0   | 10.1  | 50.7     | 0             |  |
| 5        | 17.8   | 8.1   | 55.8     | 0             |  |
| 6        | 97.2   | 0     | 95.3     | 3.7           |  |
| 7        | 61.4   | 2.5   | 76.5     | 9.8           |  |
| 8        | 68.7   | 5.6   | 76.0     | 14.2          |  |
| 9        | 97.9   | 14.4  | 85.9     | 3.2           |  |

potent activity, with the inhibition percentage of 97.2, 95.3 and 97.9, 85.9, only compound **5** was inactive against P388.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

In an oven-dried flask connected to a nitrogen/vacuum line was placed phenylacetylene (1.6 mmol) followed by the slow addition of  $\rm Et_2Zn~1M$  solution in toluene (1.5 mmol, 1.5 mL) (2.5 mmol  $\rm Et_2Zn~for~isatin$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then cooled to 0°C with an ice-water bath. The (N-substituted) isatin (1 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting solution was stirred at 0°C for 12 h, and then warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water (10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 10 min and then filtered over Celite. The mixture evaporated under reduced pressure and was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 10: 1–4: 1).

**3-Hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 400 MHz),  $\delta$ : 7.50 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.43 (2H, m), 7.30–7.33 (4H, m), 7.10 (1H, m), 6.91 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz),  $\delta$ : 177.6, 142.4, 132.8, 132.2, 131.3, 130.0, 129.5, 125.6, 124.2, 123.3, 111.5, 87.3, 86.3; MS (ESI): m/z 272 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 272.0684 (calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 272.0687); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.10; H, 4.45; N, 5.62. Found: C, 77.33; H, 4.14, N, 5.52.

1-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one (2).  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.62 (1H, d, J=6.8 Hz), 7.43 (2H, d, J=6.8 Hz), 7.38 (1H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 7.24–7.30 (3H, m), 7.16 (1H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 6.85 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz), 4.05 (1H, s), 3.23 (3H, s);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 173.9, 142.9, 132.0, 130.5, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 124.7, 123.7, 121.5, 108.8, 86.3, 85.4, 69.5, 26.6; MS (ESI): m/z 286 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 286.0849 (calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 286.0844); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.55; H, 4.98; N, 5.32. Found: C, 77.43; H, 4.77, N, 5.23.

**1-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (3). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz),  $\delta$ : 7.62 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.27–7.45 (6H, m), 7.16 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 3.78 (2H, m), 3.75 (1H, s), 1.31 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz),  $\delta$ : 173.5, 142.2, 132.0, 130.4, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 124.9, 123.5, 121.7, 108.9, 86.2, 85.6,

35.2, 12.4; MS (ESI): m/z 300 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 300.1006 (calcd. for  $C_{18}H_{15}NNaO_2^+$  300.1000); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{15}NO_2$ : C, 77.96; H, 5.45; N, 5.05. Found: C, 77.78; H, 5.41, N, 5.11.

**1-Propyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (4). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.62 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 7.27–7.45 (6H, m), 7.16 (1H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 6.89 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 3.78 (2H, m), 3.75 (1H, s), 1.31 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 173.5, 142.2, 132.0, 130.4, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 124.9, 123.5, 121.7, 108.9, 86.2, 85.6, 35.2, 12.4; MS (ESI): m/z 314 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 314.1158 (calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 314.1157); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 78.33; H, 5.88; N, 4.81. Found: C, 78.30; H, 5.76, N, 4.45.

**1-Butyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (**5**).  $^1$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.62 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.25–7.44 (6H, m), 7.14 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.87 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.83 (1H, s), 3.68 (2H, m), 7.74 (2H, m), 0.98 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 173.7, 142.3, 132.1, 130.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 124.9, 123.5, 121.7, 108.9, 86.2, 85.7, 33.2, 25.7, 12.8; MS (ESI) m/z: 328 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 328.1318 (calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{19}NNaO_2^+$  328.1313); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$ : C, 78.66; H, 6.27; N, 4.59. Found: C, 78.67; H, 6.33, N, 4.42.

**1-Hexyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one (6).**  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.61 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.43 (2H, m), 7.37 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.30 (2H, m), 7.15 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.88 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.71 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.64 (1H, s), 1.70 (3H, m), 1.25–1.38 (5H, m), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 173.9, 142.4, 132.1, 130.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.2, 124.9, 123.5, 121.8, 109.1, 86.1, 85.6, 69.5, 48.6, 40.0, 31.1, 28.9, 26.8, 22.3; MS (ESI): m/z 356 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 356.1625 (calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 356.1626); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 79.25; H, 6.95; N, 4.20. Found: C, 79.31; H, 6.78, N, 4.41.

**1-Allyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (7).  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.62 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 7.44 (2H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 7.26–7.36 (4H, m), 7.15 (1H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 6.86 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 5.85 (1H, m), 5.26 (2H, m), 4.36 (2H, m), 3.80 (1H, s);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 173.8, 143.0, 130.6, 133.1, 131.3, 129.2, 128.4, 128.2, 124.9, 123.6, 121.4, 118.8, 109.4, 86.2, 85.5, 69.5, 43.3; MS (ESI): m/z 312 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 312.9997 (calcd. for  $\mathrm{C_{19}H_{15}NNaO_2^+}$  312.1000); Anal. Calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{19}H_{15}NNaO_2^+}$  312.1000); Anal. Calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{19}H_{15}NNo_2}$ : C, 78.87; H, 5.23; N, 4.84. Found: C, 78.66; H, 5.15, N, 4.77.

**1-Benzyl-3-hydroxy-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-2-one** (8). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz), δ: 7.62 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.45 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.23–7.33 (4H, m), 7.12 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.72 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.94 (2H, s), 3.80 (1H, s); 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), δ: 174.1, 142.2, 135.0, 132.0, 130.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.7, 127.1, 124.8, 123.7, 121.6, 108.9, 86.5, 85.5, 69.6, 44.1. MS (ESI): m/z 362 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 362.1157 (calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 362.1157); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 81.40; H, 5.05; N, 4.13. Found: C, 81.51; H, 5.13, N, 4.22.

Ethyl-2-(3-hydroxy-2-oxo-3-(phenylethynyl)indolin-1-yl)acetate (9).  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz),  $\delta$ : 7.64 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 7.43 (2H, d, J=7.2 Hz), 7.25–7.37 (4H, m), 7.17 (1H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 7.66 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz), 4.48 (2H, s), 4.21 (2H, q, J=7.2 Hz), 3.76 (1H, s), 1.25 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz),  $\delta$ : 173.8, 167.1, 141.2,

132.1, 130.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.2, 124.9, 124.1, 121.5, 108.9, 86.7, 85.1, 69.4, 61.9, 41.7, 14.1; MS (ESI): m/z 358 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: m/z 358.1054 (calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{17}NNaO_4^+$  358.1055); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}NO_4$ : C, 71.63; H, 5.11; N, 4.18; O, 19.08. Found: C, 71.58; H, 5.20, N, 4.23.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was financially supported by Governor Foundation of Guizhou Province [(2005) 247] and Natural Science Research Plan Projects of Shaanxi Science and Technology Department (SJ08B20). The authors thank Qian-Yu Sun, Cheng-Hui Xu, and Wei-Yi Yang for the work of bioactivity screening.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] Marshall, J. A.; Bourbeau, M. P. Org Lett 2003, 5, 3197.
- [2] Siemsen, P.; Livingston, R. C.; Diederich, F. Angew Chem Int Ed 2000, 39, 2632.
- [3] Teruhiko, I.; Tomohiro, M.; Kumiko, H.; Toshiaki, A.; Takayuki, K.; Seiki, S. J Org Chem 2003, 68, 3702.
  - [4] Sarah, V. M.; Daesung, L. Org Lett 2005, 7, 4995.
- [5] Marie, G.; Valerie, B.; Campagne, J. M. J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127, 14180.
  - [6] Neel, K. A.; Erick, M. C. J Am Chem Soc 2001, 123, 9687.
- [7] Ryo, T.; Kenichiro, Y.; Takashi, O.; Masakatsu, S. J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127, 13760.

- [8] Barry, M. T.; Andrew, H. W.; Axel, J. W. J Am Chem Soc 2006, 128, 8.
- [9] Wang, Z.-J.; Chen, H.-Z.,Lu, Y. Chin Pharm J 2005, 40, 1073.
- [10] Fujioka, T.; Furumi, K.; Fuji, H. Chem Pharm Bull 1999, 47, 96.
- [11] Gil-Turnes, M. S.; Hay, M. E.; Fenical, W. Science 1989, 246, 116.
- [12] Glover, V.; Halket, J. M.; Watkins, P. J.; Clow, A.; Goodwin, B. L.; Sandler, M. J Neurochem 1988, 51, 656.
- [13] Medvedev, A. E.; Clow, A.; Sandler, M.; Glover, V. Biol Psychiatry 1996, 52, 385.
- [14] Ding, K.; Lu, Y.; Zaneta, N. C.; Su, Q.; Ding, Y.; Gao, W.; Jeanne, S.; Krzysztof, K.; Peter, P. R.; York, T. D.; Parrish, A.; Deschamps, J. R.; Wang, S. J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127, 10130.
- [15] Motzer, R. J.; Rini, B. I.; Michaelson, M. D.; Redman, B. G.; Hudes, G. R.; Wilding, G.; Figlin, R. A.; Zhu, J.; Kim, S.-T.; Baum, C. J Clin Oncol 2004, 22, 4500.
- [16] Abdel-Rahman, A. H.; Keshk, E. M.; Hanna, M. A.; El-Bady, S. M. Bioorg Med Chem 2004, 12, 2483.
  - [17] Ried, W.; Suarez-Rivero, E. Chem Ber 1963, 96, 1475.
- [18] Pier, G. C.; Jens, R.; Carsten, B.; Norrby, P. O.; Claudia, T. J Org Chem 2005, 70, 5733.
- [19] Wei, C.-L.; Yu, D.-H.; An, L.-J. Chin J Mod Med 2004, 14, 73.
  - [20] Mosmann, T. J Immunol Methods 1983, 65, 55.